Sparse Spatial and Temporal Estimation for Multipath Mitigation in GNSS
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Abstract—The multipath signals will degrade the tracking performance and increase the positioning errors of the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS). Superior multipath mitigation can be obtained by jointly estimating the angles of arrival and delays of both the line of sight signal and the multipath signals. In to do so, this paper proposes the use of the multiple Bayesian learning (MSBL) method together with the joint angle and delay estimation technique in GNSS multipath scenarios. Moreover, to further enhance the resolution, off-grid estimation is adopted to delay while on-grid estimation is kept for angle to reduce the complexity. Simulation results are presented to evaluate the performance of the proposed joint on-grid angle and off-grid delay estimation based on MSBL algorithm under several multipath scenarios and it is shown to outperform existing methods even in the most difficult cases of spatially correlated multipath signals and low carrier-to-noise ratio.

Index Terms—GNSS multipath signals, Multiple sparse Bayesian learning, Joint angle and delay estimation, off-grid estimation

I. INTRODUCTION

The GNSS receiver is often influenced by multipath signals which will cause tracking performance degradation and positioning error increase [1]. To address these problems, the estimation of parameters for GNSS multipath signals in multi-antenna GNSS receiver has attracted a lot of attention as it can be used for the purpose of multipath mitigation [2].

Many techniques have been proposed in the literature utilizing multiple antenna for GNSS multipath parameter estimation [3]. Space-Alternating Generalized Expectation maximization (SAGE) [4-5] is based on the maximum likelihood (ML) principle and separates multipath signals and estimating parameters of each path iteratively. However, SAGE can only guarantee local optimality and its performance is extremely sensitive to initial values. Different from SAGE, subspace-based methods like multiple signal classification (MUSIC) [6] method and estimating signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques (ESPRIT) [7] are also well known. Although subspace-based methods have relatively lower computational complexity, their performance is suboptimal and ineffective for coherent signals. JADE is proposed in [8] to estimate the signal parameters by vectoring spatial and temporal data and it has been applied for GNSS multipath discrimination [9-11]. Nevertheless, it is worth pointing out that most of these methods mentioned above only work satisfactorily with signals with low spatial or temporal correlation. Moreover, such methods also face a degradation in low carrier-to-noise ratio (C/N0).

In this paper, an alternative approach is followed by formulating the problem using a compressed sensing perspective. With this approach, the signals in a specific domain can be sparsely representative by multiple fixed grid points, then parameters could be estimated from the corresponding grid points which is also called on-grid estimation [12]. Among all the compressed sensing methods, sparse Bayesian learning (SBL) [13] and multiple sparse Bayesian learning (MSBL) [14] use a Bayesian approach together with the expectation maximization where two advantages indicate that the global minima of SBL are always the sparsest one and SBL has much fewer local minima. It is also robust to highly correlated signals which brings the huge advantage for distinguishing GNSS multipath signals. To immensely improve the direction-of-arrival (DOA) estimation performance without dense sampling grids, the off-grid sparse Bayesian inference method was proposed in [15].

Inspired by the above methods, the joint DOA and delay estimation problem for GNSS multipath scenario is investigated in this paper. The application of the temporally beamspaced joint spatial and temporal domain sparse model improves the multipath discrimination and increases the number of paths whose parameters can be estimated. To fully exploit the superiority of robustness against multipath signals and adaptiveness to low C/N0 values, we proposed the combination of JADE and MSBL in a GNSS context. Besides, considering that more accuracy is required in the temporal domain than the spatial domain because the GNSS pseudorange observable is a time delay estimate, on-grid estimation is used particularly on DOA to greatly reduce the computational overhead. While for time delay, the application of off-grid estimation brings a more accurate estimate with fewer number of grids. Thus, an algorithm named joint on-grid DOA and off-grid delay estimation based on MSBL (JAODE-MSBL) is proposed.

Notation: Matrices are denoted by capital letters in boldface (e.g., $\mathbf{A}$), and vectors are denoted by lowercase letters in boldface (e.g., $\mathbf{a}$). $E\{\cdot\}$ denotes the expectation operation. $\mathcal{CN}(\mu, \Sigma)$ denotes the complex Gaussian distribution with the mean $\mu$ and variance $\Sigma$. $\|\cdot\|_F$, $\|\cdot\|_2$, $\otimes$, $\text{Tr}\{\cdot\}$, $\text{vec}\{\cdot\}$,
\[
\text{diag} \{\cdot\}, (\cdot)^{-1}, (\cdot)^T, (\cdot)^H \text{ denote the Frobenius norm, the } \ell_2\text{ norm, the Kronecker product, the Hadamard product, the trace of a matrix, the vectorization of a matrix, the diagonalization of a matrix, the inversion, the matrix transpose and the Hermitian transpose, respectively.}
\]

II. SYSTEM MODEL

We take Global Positioning System (GPS) as our target application system. Consider the wavefield generated by \( K \) rays located at \( \theta \) with corresponding time delay \( \tau \), where \( \theta = [\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_K]^T \) and \( \tau = [\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_K]^T \). Under the narrowband approximation, the vector \( y(t) \) at the output of an \( M_b \) elements array for one specific satellite signal can be represented as

\[
y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_k a(\theta_k) c(t - \tau_k) + e(t) \tag{1}
\]

where \( y(t) = [y_1(t), \ldots, y_{M_b}(t)]^T \). \( a(\theta_k) \) and \( \gamma_k \) are the steering vector and the amplitude of \( k \)th ray, respectively. The \( c(t - \tau_k) \) denotes the \( k \)th ray waveform of \( C/A \) codes. The Gaussian noise component \( e(t) \) is uncorrelated with the signals. In this paper, we assume that the number of rays is already known.

The spatial observations are collected along \( N \) periods of \( C/A \) codes with \( L \) samples in each period. Thus, the samples of the \( n \)th period of the observation vector lead to

\[
Y_b(n) = y(((n-1) - L + 1)/T_s), \ldots, y(((n-1)L + L - L)/T_s) \tag{2}
\]

where \( T_s \) is the sample interval and \( l = 1, \ldots, L \), \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). Similarly, the sampled and shifted waveform is

\[
c(\tau_k) = [c(T_s - \tau_k), \ldots, c(LT_s - \tau_k)]^T. \tag{3}
\]

The computation of the parameters in (1) is complicated due to the length of sequence and sampling interval. To reduce the data amount, we compress the received signal \( Y_b(n) \) in the temporal domain. The compression can be expressed by multiplying the received signal with a correlator bank matrix \( B \in \mathbb{C}^{M_s \times L} \) and then by vectorizing the results we get

\[
y_s(n) = vec(Y_b(n) \odot B^H) = (A(\theta) \odot R^T(\tau, \tilde{\tau})) \gamma_s + e_s(n) \tag{4}
\]

with \( r(\bar{\tau}_m, \tilde{\tau}_m) = e^{i\theta k} c(\bar{\tau}_m), k = 1, \ldots, K \), \( m_r = 1, \ldots, M_r \) being the element of the matrix \( R(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times M_r} \) and the array manifold defined as \( A(\theta) = [a(\theta_1), \ldots, a(\theta_K)] \). The matrix \( B \) correlates the received signal \( B = [c(\tilde{\tau}_1), \ldots, c(\tilde{\tau}_M)]^T \) with \( M_r \) replicas of \( c(\tau) \) with different delays \( \tilde{\tau}_m \). The set of the delay \( \tilde{\tau} \) is uniformly distributed around the peak of the correlation function.

To exploit the sparsity in both spatial and temporal domains, we could further extend \( y_s(n) \) into a joint two dimensional spatial and temporal sparse model by using the concept of sparse representation. According to the unequal treatment of both spatial and temporal domains, we come up with a joint on-grid DOA and off-grid delay sparse model.

Let \( \hat{\theta} = [\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_N] \) be the uniformly fixed spatial grids with fixed DOA interval \( r_\theta \) in the range \([-90^\circ, 90^\circ]\), similarly, for the time delay, let \( \hat{\tau} = [\hat{\tau}_1, \ldots, \hat{\tau}_N] \) be the uniformly fixed sampling time grids with fixed delay interval \( r_\tau \) in the range \([-T_c, T_c]\) where \( T_c \) denotes the time duration per \( C/A \) code. As for off-grid parameters vector, \( \beta = [\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N]^T \) is designed where \( \beta_{\theta r} = \tau - \tilde{\tau}_m \) is assumed to be uniformly distributed in the interval \([-\frac{1}{2}r_\tau, \frac{1}{2}r_\tau]\) with \( \tilde{\tau}_m \) being the nearest grid to the \( k \)th signal. Thus, we can construct an on-grid DOA and off-grid delay over complete basis matrix

\[
\Phi(\beta_r) = A \odot (C + B, C \odot B) \tag{5}
\]

where \( A = [a(\theta_1), \ldots, a(\theta_N)] \in \mathbb{C}^{M_s \times N} \), \( C \in \mathbb{C}^{M_r \times N} \) is composed of the element \( r(\tilde{\tau}_m, \tilde{\tau}_m) \) with \( m_r = 1, \ldots, M_r, \tau_r = 1, \ldots, N_r \). \( B \in \mathbb{C}^{M_s \times N} \) is the first order Taylor series expansion derivation of \( b(\bar{\tau}_m, \tilde{\tau}_m) \).

By extending to multiple measurement vectors model, denote the matrices \( Y = [y_1(1), \ldots, y_{N_s}(N)] \in \mathbb{C}^{M_s M_r \times N} \) and \( E = [e_1(1), \ldots, e_s(N)] \in \mathbb{C}^{M_s M_r \times N} \), then the joint on-grid DOA and off-grid delay estimation sparse model becomes

\[
Y = \Phi(\beta_r) X + E \tag{6}
\]

with complex source amplitudes \( X = [x_s(1), \ldots, x_s(N)] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_s N_r \times N} \) that only few of them are zero. The matrix \( X \) of interest is sparse that all the columns of \( X \) are sparse and share the same support.

III. EXISTING METHODS

In this section, two typical methods, SAGE and MUSIC for spatial and temporal estimation are briefly reviewed in GNSS multipath environment.

A. Spatial and Temporal Estimation based on SAGE

SAGE iteratively approximates the ML estimator and has been successfully applied for parameter estimation in GNSS [5]. The core idea of SAGE is performing a sequence of maximization steps in spaces of lower dimension. Denote \( s_k(t) = \gamma_k a(\theta_k) c(t - \tau_k) \) in (1), thus, we get the sampled \( \hat{S}_{h,k} \) and \( \hat{E}_{h,k} \) for each ray. Follow the introductions in [4] and [5], SAGE can be implemented by two main steps. The one expectation step is

\[
\hat{X}_{b,k} = Y_b - \sum_{k=1, k \neq k}^{K} \hat{S}_{b,k}. \tag{7}
\]

Then the following step is maximization,

\[
\hat{\theta}_k = \arg \max_{\theta_k} \left\{ |a^H(\theta_k) \hat{X}_{b,k} c(\hat{\tau}_k)|^2 \right\} \tag{8}
\]

\[
\hat{\tau}_k = \arg \max_{\tau_k} \left\{ |a^H(\tau_k) \hat{X}_{b,k} c(\hat{\tau}_k)|^2 \right\}. \tag{9}
\]

Obviously, SAGE is quite sensitive to initialization due to (7).
B. Spatial and Temporal Estimation based on MUSIC

MUSIC is a promising super-resolution subspace-based method and was proposed by extending the geometric concepts to obtain a reasonable approximate solution in the presence of noise. In this paper, the joint angle and delay estimation based on MUSIC is implemented on model (4).

Nevertheless, MUSIC is not the proper algorithm in multipath discrimination. To conquer this problem, spatial smoothing [16] is applied as well by forming the submatrix \( U_m = \Psi_J (A(\theta) \otimes R^H(\tau, \bar{\tau})) \) where \( \Psi_J \) is \( [I_m, 0, J, M_\alpha - J] \). So the \( M_\alpha - J + 1 \) groups could be gathered by sliding

\[
T_s = U_m [I_{\gamma_s}, \Phi_s \gamma_s, \ldots, \Phi_s \gamma_s^{M_s - J + 1}] + E_s \tag{10}
\]

where \( \Phi_s = \text{diag} \{ e^{j\sin(\theta_1)}, \ldots, e^{j\sin(\theta_K)} \} \) is the phase difference matrix contributing to resolving the multipath signals. By taking the noise subspace \( U_N \) via eigen-decomposition of \( T_s T_s^H \), we could search the parameters with the spectrum

\[
\{ \hat{\theta}, \hat{\tau} \} = \arg \max_{\theta, \tau} \left\{ \frac{1}{U_m^H U_N U_N^H U_m} \right\}. \tag{11}
\]

IV. SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL ESTIMATION BASED ON MSBL

In this paper, a sparse Bayesian rules based method is proposed to settle the multipath resolution using model (6). The problem at hand of the joint on-grid DOA and off-grid delay estimation is converted to find not only the set of non-zero indices of the matrix \( X \) but also the off-grid parameters vector \( \beta \), for delay. To realize the JAODE-MSBL algorithm, the distribution assumptions are given as follows.

We assume that the additive noise in (6) is complex Gaussian noise with variance \( \sigma^2 \) and zero mean value. When \( \sigma^2 \) is assumed to be unknown, by defining a hyperparameter, i.e., the precision, \( \alpha_n \triangleq \sigma^{-2} \). Then we have

\[
p(\alpha_n | X, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} N(\gamma_s(n) | \Phi(\beta_s) x_s(n), \alpha_n^{-1} I_{M_\alpha M_\beta}) \tag{12}
\]

and a Gamma distribution is used to describe the inverse of noise variance

\[
p(\alpha_n; a, b) = B(\alpha_n; a, b) \tag{13}
\]

where \( B(\alpha_n; a, b) \equiv \Gamma(\alpha_n)^{-1} a^{b \alpha_n - 1} e^{-b \alpha_n} \) with the Gamma function \( \Gamma(\alpha_n) \equiv \int_0^{\infty} x^{\alpha_n - 1} e^{-x} dx \). \( a \) and \( b \) are the hyperparameters for \( \alpha_n \).

For the prior of complex source amplitudes \( X \), it can be seen as independent both across C/A codes and space-time domain, which follows a zero-mean complex Gaussian distribution with DOA-delay-dependent variance \( \alpha = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{N_\beta N_\alpha}]^T \) and \( \Psi = \text{diag}(\alpha) \).

\[
p(X | \alpha) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} N(x_s[n]; 0, \Psi). \tag{14}
\]

A two-stage hierarchical prior is adopted here which favors most rows of \( X \) being zeros

\[
p(\alpha, \rho) = \prod_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha(n_g) ; 1, \rho). \tag{15}
\]

For the off-grid parameter vector \( \beta \), a non-informative uniform prior is used

\[
p(\beta; \gamma) = U \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \frac{1}{2} \gamma \end{array} \right] \right) \tag{16}
\]

where we have

\[
U([c, d]) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d-c}, & c \leq x \leq d, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{17}
\]

To estimate DOAs and delays, we can formulate the following problem to maximize the posterior probability with the received signal

\[
\hat{X}, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} = \arg \max_{X, \alpha, \beta} p(X, \alpha, \beta | Y). \tag{18}
\]

However, the problem of posterior probability above cannot be solved directly, so an EM method is adopted to realize SBL. To obtain the posterior distribution of \( X \), we first calculate the joint distribution for all parameters

\[
p(X, Y; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = p(Y | X, \alpha, \beta) p(X | \alpha) p(\alpha). \tag{19}
\]

The posterior for \( X \) can be obtained as

\[
p(X | Y; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \propto p(Y | X; \alpha, \beta) p(X | \alpha). \tag{20}
\]

After a simple derivation, their product (20) is also Gaussian with posterior mean value \( \mu_x \) and covariance \( \Sigma_x \)

\[
\mu_x(n) = \alpha_n \Sigma_x \Phi^H(\beta_s) y_s(n) \tag{21}
\]

\[
\Sigma_x = (\alpha_n \Phi^H(\beta_s) \Phi(\beta_s) + \Psi)^{-1} \tag{22}
\]

where \( n = 1, \ldots, N \).

From the formulations mentioned above, hyperparameters \( \alpha_n, \alpha, \beta \) are needed to be known when calculate \( \mu_x \) and \( \Sigma_x \). To address the hyperparameters estimate, an expectation maximization algorithm is implemented that treats \( X \) as a hidden variable and turns to maximizing \( E \{ p(X, Y; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \} \). Following a similar procedure as in [14] denoting \( U = [u_x(1), \ldots, u_x(N)] \), it is easy to obtain the following updates of \( \alpha \) and \( \sigma^2 \) by maximizing \( E \{ \ln p(X | \alpha) p(\alpha) \} \) and \( E \{ \ln p(Y | X, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) p(\alpha) \} \)

\[
\alpha(n_g) = \frac{N^2 + 4 \rho E \left\{ \| X(n_g, :)^2 \| \right\} - 1}{2 \rho} \tag{23}
\]

\[
\alpha_n = \frac{M_\beta M_\gamma N + a - 1}{E \left\{ \| Y - \Phi(\beta_s) X \|_F^2 \right\} + N + b} \tag{24}
\]
where \( E\left\{\|X(n_g,\cdot)\|^2_F\right\} = \|U(n_g,\cdot)\|^2_F + \Sigma(n_g, n_g) \) and 
\[
E\left\{\|Y - \Phi X\|^2_F\right\} = \|Y - \Phi U\|^2_F + \alpha(n_g) \sum_{n=1}^{N_gN_r} \zeta(n_g) \text{ with } 
\zeta(n_g) = 1 - \alpha^{-1}(n_g) \Sigma(n_g, n_g), \text{ where } n_g = 1, \ldots, N_gN_r.
\]

Referring to the off-grid parameter vector \( \beta_r \), 
\[
E\{\log p(Y|X, \sigma^2, \beta_r) p(\beta_r)\} \text{ is the part related to (18) that its estimate maximizes and thus minimizes}
\]
\[
E\left\{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|y_n(n) - \Phi(\beta_r)x_n(n)\|^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|y_n(n) - \Phi(\beta_r)\mu_x(n)\|^2 + \text{Tr}\{\Phi(\beta_r)\Sigma_x\Phi^H(\beta_r)\}
\]
\[
= \beta_r^T P_{\beta_r} \beta_r - 2\mu_x^T \beta_r + C_1
\]
where \( C_1 \) is a constant term independent of \( \beta_r \). \( P_{\beta_r} \) is a positive semi-definite matrix
\[
P_{\beta_r} = \Re\left\{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}(n)H\mathbb{E}(n)\right\} + \Re\left\{J_1^T \left(\Sigma_x \circ (A \otimes B_r)\right)H(A \otimes B_r) J_1\right\}
\]
\[
v_{\beta_r} = \Re\left\{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{(y_n(n) - (A \otimes C)\mu_x(n))^H \mathbb{E}(n)\right\}^T - \Re\left\{\text{diag}\left((A^H A \otimes B_r^H C) \Sigma_x\right)\right\}^T J_1\right\}^T
\]
with \( \mathbb{E}[n] = ((A \mathfrak{m} \mu_x^T(n)) \otimes I_{K_r}) (I_{N_r} \otimes B_r) J_2 \). In the above equations, both of \( J_1 \) and \( J_2 \) are selection matrix. \( J_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_gN_r \times N_r} \) is arranged by column of \( N_0 \) unit diagonal matrix \( I_{N_r} \), \( J_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_r} \) is a known matrix with the element of \( N_r(m_r-1) + m_r \) th row and \( m_r \) th column being one, \( m_r = 1, \ldots, N_r \), and other elements are zero. \( \mathfrak{m} \mu_x(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_0} \) is a reshaped matrix of column vector \( \mu_x(n) \). Besides, the derivation above of details is shown in Appendix.

As a result, we have
\[
\hat{\beta}_r = \arg \min_{\beta_r \in [-\frac{\text{Tr} \left\{P_{\beta_r}\right\}}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|y_n(n)\|^2}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|y_n(n)\|^2}] \left\{\beta_r^T P_{\beta_r} \beta_r - 2\mathbb{E}^T \beta_r \right\}
\]
for the purpose of estimating the off-grid parameter vector. The JAODE-MSBL algorithm is concluded as follows.

**JAODE-MSBL algorithm**

1. **Initialization**: \( \alpha_n = 100/\text{var}\{Y\} \), \( \beta_r = 0 \) and \( \alpha = (\Phi^H Y)/(M_0M_r(M_0M_r - 1)) \). The hyperparameters \( a = b = 1 \times 10^{-4} \) and \( \rho = 0.01 \).
2. **Repeat**
3. **Calculate** \( \Sigma_x, \mu_x \) and \( \Phi(\beta_r) \) using the current values of the hyperparameters according to (22), (21) and (5), respectively;
4. **Update** \( \alpha \) and \( \sigma^2 \) according to (23) and (24);
5. **Find** the \( K \) largest peaks in \( \alpha \) and calculate the corresponding DOA and delay on-grid number, respectively;
6. **Update** \( \beta_r \) according to (26) - (28);
7. **Calculate** the error \( \varepsilon = \|x^{\text{new}} - \alpha^{\text{old}}\|^2_2/\|\alpha^{\text{old}}\|^2_2 \).
8. **Until** (convergence \( \varepsilon \leq 10^{-3} \) or iterations \( \leq 500 \)).

**V. Numerical Results**

In this paper, we compare the proposed algorithm JAODE-MSBL with the existing methods, such as the SAGE and spatial-smoothing JADE-MUSIC (SS-JADE-MUSIC) reviewed in the former sections for various GNSS multipath scenarios. For the JAODE-MSBL algorithm, the specific settings are listed below. The scanning DOA grid is uniformly distributed in the range from \(-90^\circ \) to \(90^\circ \) with the DOA interval \( r_\alpha = 2^\circ \) between adjacent grid points. With the uniform scanning delay grid range from \(-2T_c \) to \(2T_c \), the delay interval between adjacent grid points is \( r_\tau = 0.1T_c \).

Unless noted otherwise, SAGE is implemented by two different initializations: the ideal one (SAGEi) and the practical one (SAGEp). The former one is that both DOA and delay are initialized randomly within \((-1.5^\circ, 1.5^\circ)\) and \((-0.075T_c, +0.075T_c)\) biases for two paths, respectively. The latter is set more reasonable that the initial DOAs are unknown when searching and the time delay of main path is known acquired by acquisition.

For SS-JADE-MUSIC, the sliding elements of arrays is \( J = 6 \).

For simplicity, we assume a uniform linear array (ULA) with \( M_d = 8 \) sensors and half-wavelength interelement spacing. There are 10ms C/A codes in total. The sampling rate is \( P = 4 \). Here we consider two paths in total \((K = 2)\): a LOSS and a single reflective multipath. The direct to multipath ratio is 0.8.

With the \( N_1 = 1000 \) trials, the root mean square error
\[
\text{RMSE}(\tau_1) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} (\hat{\tau}_1 - \tau_1)^2}
\]
is measured the LOSS estimation performance.

**A. Scenario A: low spatially correlated rays**

In this scenario, we consider the LOSS and the multipath are separable in spatial domain. These two correlated rays are separated by DOA \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \) at \(-0.7^\circ \) and \(30.2^\circ \), with corresponding delay \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \) being 0.03T_c and 0.38T_c, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 1 and 2, both 2D-SAGE and SS-JADE-MUSIC achieve the best performances in high \( C/N_0 \) in case of low spatially correlated rays. However, with low \( C/N_0 \) the DOAs and delays cannot be estimated correctly by 2D-SAGE and SS-JADE-MUSIC. On the contrary, JAODE-MUSIC becomes a little bit flat in high \( C/N_0 \) both in DOA and delay estimation yet with different reasons: on-grid estimation and first-order Taylor series expansion, respectively. When in lower \( C/N_0 \), JAODE-MSBL is more appropriate to GNSS receiver than others due to the fact that most of the typical GNSS signals are located in the region from 35 dB-Hz to 55 dB-Hz.

**B. Scenario B: highly spatially correlated rays**

In order to get a deep insight about the performances of proposed method in highly spatially correlated case, the DOAs \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \) of the LOSS and the multipath signal are set to \(0.3^\circ \) and \(6.8^\circ \), respectively, while the delays \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \) remain 0.03T_c and 0.38T_c.
In comparison to scenario A, highly spatially correlated rays lead to the fact that neither 2D-SAGEp or SS-JADE-MUSIC works well. Even 2D-SAGEi cannot resolve the two paths thoroughly in high $C/N_0$. However, JAODE-MSBL algorithm has a strong robustness against multipath as shown in Fig.3 and 4. It particularly performs as good as 2D-SAGEi in delay estimation, even reaching the level of $10^{-2}T_c$, the decimeter level, at only 40 dB-Hz.

C. Scenario C: highly temporally correlated rays

We will be continuing to explore the performance of proposed method in a highly temporally correlated case, the DOAs $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$ of the LOSS and the multipath signal are set to be $0.3^\circ$, $10.8^\circ$, while the delays $\tau_1$, $\tau_2$ are narrowed to $0.03T_c$ and $0.13T_c$, respectively.

In both highly spatially and temporally correlated rays scenarios, the performance of 2D-SAGEp continues to deteriorate, especially in low $C/N_0$. Meanwhile, SS-JADE-MUSIC performs better than it in Scenario B due to the spatial smoothing. Even though 2D-SAGEi is good to see under 48 dB-Hz, it becomes flat in high $C/N_0$ which confirms that SAGE cannot discriminate two highly temporally correlated rays anymore in high $C/N_0$. Conversely, JAODE-MSBL performs well after 42 dB-Hz showing that it can provide the accurate values.

VI. CONCLUSION

The joint DOA and delay estimation problem for multipath mitigation in GNSS has been investigated in this paper. The novel JAODE-MSBL algorithm has been proposed to estimate on-grid DOA and off-grid delay which reduces the complexity and enhances the resolution. Simulation results confirm that the proposed JAODE-MSBL provides more accuracy delay estimation and outperforms in multipath discrimination especially in low $C/N_0$ and spatially correlated rays. However, different GNSS scenarios acquire different MSBL based estimators. Future work will focus on the extended derivation of the proposed algorithm in adaption to various GNSS scenarios.
Define (20) into two parts and we calculate them separately where the sampling point $t$ here is omitted for short

$$F_A = \|y_s - [A \otimes (C + B_\tau \text{diag}(\beta_\tau))]\mu_x\|_2^2$$

$$= \|y_s - (A \otimes C)\mu_x - \text{vec}((B\text{diag}(\beta_\tau))\text{mat} \mu_x A^T)\|_2^2$$

$$= -2\Re \left\{ (y_s - (A \otimes C)\mu_x)^H \Xi \beta_\tau + \beta_\tau^T \Xi^H \Xi \beta_\tau + C_2 \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

$$F_B = T \Phi(\beta_\tau) \Sigma_x \Phi(\beta_\tau)^H$$

$$= 2\Re \left\{ T \{ (A \otimes C) \Sigma_x (A \otimes (B_\tau \text{diag}(\beta_\tau)))^H \} \right\}$$

$$+ T \left\{ (A \otimes (B_\tau \text{diag}(\beta_\tau))) \Sigma_x (A \otimes (B_\tau \text{diag}(\beta_\tau)))^H \right\}$$

$$+ C_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)$$

where $C_2$, $C_3$ are the parts irrelevant to $\beta_\tau$. Then split $F_B$ into two parts for simplicity,

$$F_C = \Re \left\{ Tr \left\{ (A \otimes (A \otimes (B_\tau \text{diag}(\beta_\tau)))^H \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \Re \left\{ Tr \left\{ (A^H A \otimes (B^H C) \Sigma_x \text{diag}(J_1 \beta_\tau)) \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \Re \left\{ \text{diag} \left\{ (A^H A \otimes (B^H C) \Sigma_x) \right\}^T (J_1 \beta_\tau) \right\}$$

$$F_D = \Re \left\{ Tr \left\{ (A \otimes (B \text{diag}(\beta_\tau))) \Sigma_x (A \otimes (B \text{diag}(\beta_\tau)))^H \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \beta_\tau^T J_1^T \left\{ \Sigma_x \otimes (A \otimes B)^H (A \otimes B) \right\} J_1 \beta_\tau.$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)$$

Follow the above equations, $P_\tau$ and $v_\tau$ are acquired. Note that $\beta_\tau^T Q \beta_\tau$ belongs to real domain under the circumstance of a positive semi-definite matrix $Q$ thus leads a result $\beta_\tau^T Q \beta_\tau = \Re \{ \beta_\tau^T Q \beta_\tau \} = \beta_\tau^T \Re \{ Q \} \beta_\tau$ due to the real-valued $\beta_\tau$. Then we have the positive semi-definite matrix $P_\tau$.

**REFERENCES**


