

### **RSSI-based Cooperative Localization** with Energy-efficient Node Selection for Wireless Sensor Networks

Glyfada, Athens/RFCSET meeting

Albert Bel, José López Vicario, Gonzalo Seco-Granados

October 8, 2009



#### Outline

- Presentation of the group
- Localization methods in WSN
- Motivation and Proposal
- System model
- Selection criteria
- Simulation Results
- Experimental validation
- Conclusions



#### **Presentation of the group**

- Signal Processing for Communications and Navigation Group (SPCOMNAV) of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- Created in October 2005 by researchers coming from: ESA, CTTC and UPC.
- Formed by:
  - 4 PhD faculty Professors
  - 2 associate professors
  - 5 PhD students





- Our areas of research are:
  - Signal Processing
  - Information Theory
  - Optimization
- Focused on:
  - Wireless Communications
    - · Physical layer
    - · MAC layer
  - Positioning



Signal Processing for Communications & Navigation

- C o o p e r a t i v e Communications
  - Performance analysis
     versus imperfect channel
     state information
  - Relay selection
  - Optimization of power allocation



October 14, 2010



- Hybrid Networks
  - Experimental platform: Sensor network + WIMAX
  - Unmaned Air Vehicles (UAV)
  - Environment monitorization
- Distributed optimization
  - Design of distributed algorithms for resources allocation
  - Distributed:
     estimation /synchronization
- Network coding





October 14, 2010



- Communications + positioning
  - Location-aware communications
  - Statistic knowledge of the channel
  - Cooperative positioning
  - Distributed synchronization
  - GPS and Galileo SW receivers





October 14, 2010



#### **Research interests**

- Interest in the COST WG2: Smart and Reconfigurable RF radio transcievers.
- New signal processing methods to reduce fading issues, in order to:
  - increase communication efficiency.
  - reduce mobile terminals power requirements.
- Design of cooperative positioning systems oriented to reduce the energy consumption.



## **Localization methods in WSN**

- Why we need the localization in wireless sensor networks?
  - Having sensing data without knowing the position of them is meaningless.
- Why we do not use existing techniques, such as GPS?
  - Increase cost and size of nodes. Becomes inappropiate in large scale networks.



## **Localization methods in WSN**

- In the literature, localization methods are normally divided in two steps [Bachrach2005, Patwari2005]:
  - Measurement phase
    - Time of Arrival (TOA)
    - Angle of Arrival (AOA)
    - Received Signal Strength (RSS)
  - Location-update phase
    - Cooperative versus non-cooperative
    - Distributed versus centralized





#### **Localization methods in WSN**

- Cooperative methods have appeared to reduce the necessity of having a high density of anchor nodes or long-range anchor transmissions.
- The capacity of cooperating with anchors and non-anchors nodes can offer increased accuracy and coverage. [Wymeersch2009]
- RSS-based measurements are presented as a low-cost and low-complexity solution. [Li2006]



## **Motivation and Proposal**

- We focus on an RSS-based Cooperative Distributed algorithm of localization.
- Pros
  - RSS measurements need less hardware requirements.
  - Less exchange of messages in distributed methods.
  - Anchor node density reduction in cooperative localization.
- Cons
  - The RSS measurements introduce an error multiplicative to the distance.
  - Cooperation with further nodes will have more error at the distance estimation.
  - Cooperation with more nodes increases the energy consumption.



# **Motivation and Proposal**

- We want:
  - To achieve a good tradeoff in position accuracy vs. energy consumption.
- We propose:
  - A node selection criterion fixing an RSSI threshold.
  - Each node selects that nodes that have a received RSS above the RSSI threshold.





Signal Processing for Communications & Navigation

## System model

- We assumed  $N_1$  uniformly distributed unallocated nodes in a 50mx50m square area.
- The propagation model follows the following formula:

 $RSS_{ij} = P_{ij} = P_0 - 10\alpha \log_{10} d_{ij} - v_{ij} \ (dBm)$ 

 The cost function of the Cooperative Least Squares methods is:

$$C_{LS}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j \in S_i} ||\delta_{ij} - \hat{d}_{ij}||^2$$

• Cost function is minimized through an iterative distributed method:  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i(t) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i(t-1) + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\delta_{i,i} - \hat{d}_{i,i}) \mathbf{e}_{i,i}$ 

$$\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{i}(t) = \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{i}(t-1) + \gamma \sum_{j \in S_{i}} (\delta_{ij} - \hat{d}_{ij}) \mathbf{e_{ij}}$$

October 14, 2010





# **Selection criteria**

- Finding the optimum threshold is difficult.
- The RSSI threshold is obtained by limiting the mean number of anchor nodes inside the radio range of an arbitrary node i.
- Number of anchor inside  $r_{th}$ :  $N_{anchor}^{(x_i,y_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} I(||(x_i,y_i) (x_j^a,y_j^a)|| < r_{th})$
- Mean number of anchor nodes  $N_m \approx \sum_{h=1}^{N_2} \pi r_{th}^2 \frac{1}{A} = \frac{N_2 \pi r_{th}^2}{A}$

October 14, 2010







## **Selection Criteria**

- From the previous expression,  $\rm r_{th}$  is transformed to an RSSI threshold following propagation model.
- Pathloss model of the distance

$$RSS^{PL} = \frac{P_0}{r^{\alpha}}$$
$$RSS^{PL}_{th} = \left(\frac{N_2 \pi P_0^{2/\alpha}}{N_m A}\right)^{\alpha/2}$$



October 14, 2010



tignal Processing for Communications & Navigation

SPCOMNAV

## **Selection Criteria**

- From the previous expression,  $\rm r_{th}$  is transformed to an RSSI threshold following propagation model.



## **Selection Criteria**

- From the previous expression,  ${\rm r}_{\rm th}$  is transformed to an RSSI threshold following propagation model.
- High mismatch at lower values of RSSI due to consideration of an extra area.
- The pathloss model is the one that has less mismatch.



October 14, 2010



## **Simulation results**

- Mean absolute error versus mean number of anchor nodes.
- 10 • Similar \* 16 anchor 9.5 → 24 anchor performance 9 mean absolute error (m) 2 5 8 5 6 with a value of  $RSS_{th}^{sim}$  $RSS_{**}^{PL}$ Nm=3 compared to the result Minimum obtained at 6.5 Threshold maximum RSSI 6 threshold. 5.5 3 5 13 2 4 6 7 8 9 10 12 14 15 11 16 Ν



Signal Processing for Communications & Navigation

# **Simulation results**

- Energy consumed versus mean number of anchor nodes.
- Lower values of

   e n e r g y
   consumption
   with a lower
   value of anchor
   nodes in the
   network.



18



October 14, 2010

## **Simulation results**

- Mean absolute error versus energy consumed.
- Comparing the results at Nm=3 with those obtained at maximum radio range, we achieve:
- Similar performance in terms of error.
- Reduction in the energy consumption.
- Good trade-off with Nm=3 for both scenarios.



#### October 14, 2010



Signal Processing for Communications & Navigation

## **Experimental validation**

- Experimental results in a real WSN based on IRIS motes (Crossbow) are presented.
- Behaviour of the error is similar to that p r e d i c t e d theoretically.
- Again, Nm=3 can be considered a good choice.





October 14, 2010



20



## Conclusions

- A node selection criteria aimed at optimizing the position accuracy versus energy efficiency trade-off has been proposed.
- The proposed scheme is a node selection mechanism that fixes an RSSI threshold (any additional cost in size or hardware is implied).
- An excellent behaviour in terms of accuracy versus energy trade-off is obtained by setting the mean number of anchor nodes (Nm) equal to 3.
- We present experimental validation that confirms the efficiency of the proposed strategy.

